

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,442	BARAN, GEORGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ginger T. Chapman. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Kent Genin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During an examiner-initiated telephone interview, examiner indicated that, although Figure 36 is detailed on pp. 46-47 of the Specification, reference to Fig. 36 appears to have been inadvertently omitted from p. 7 under Brief Description of the Drawings.

As claims 64-77 and 79-80 stand allowed per Office action mail date 03/11/2008, in order to facilitate timely prosecution, Applicants' representative authorized the examiner to amend the specification as reflected in the current action.